

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
SCIENCE AND EDUCATION ADMINISTRATION

FEDERAL RESEARCH
NORTHEASTERN REGION
BELTSVILLE AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH CENTER
BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

October 25, 1978

Subject: Fresh and Dry Weight Data on Samples Collected in Maryland,
Virginia, North Carolina and Tennessee, 1978

To: Arthur S. Barclay, MPRL

On our recent field trip, a scale was employed to help judge the three pound minimum dry weight from weighing fresh samples. Using a scale in collecting general samples has additional value: (1) Knowing the average expected weight loss can help reduce collecting overweight general samples and (2) Knowing the dry weight/fresh weight for a particular sample could be an economical tool in obtaining a future recollection - the option of paying labor by weight versus on an hourly basis.

From the data attached, some generalizations can be made for collecting a three pound minimum sample from humid deciduous forests; indicated in the following dichotomy:

A. Herbs

1. Roots

- a. Soft-stemmed, fleshy or succulent - a minimum of 14 pounds fresh. Ex. Laportea, Caulophyllum, Angelica.
- b. Hard-stemmed - No data

2. Stem - leaves

- a. Soft-stemmed - a minimum of 14-19 pounds fresh. Ex. - Polygonatum, Gentiana, Tiarella, Mitchella, Laportea, Saurus.
- b. Hard-stemmed or suffrutescent - a minimum of 9-9.5 pounds fresh. Ex. - Xanthorhiza, Chenopodium, Prenanthes, Epifagus, Lycopus, Potentilla.

B. Shrubs

- 1. Roots - A minimum of 7.0 pounds fresh. Ex. - Hydrangea, Ceanothus, Myrica.
- 2. Woody-Stem with Stembark or Stembark - A minimum of 5.0 pounds fresh. Ex. - Alnus, Cornus, Persea, Halesia, Berchemia.
- 3. Twigs-Leaves - A minimum of 6.5 pounds fresh. Ex. Kalmia,

Vaccinium, Cornus, Leucothoe, Halesia, Persea, Myrica,
Berchemia, Itea, Clethra, Ceanothus, Pieris:Clethra.

The sampling error with the scale alone is 1-3%. Standard weights were taken in the field to adjust the scale when necessary.

The sampling error based on the sample itself is much greater. For example, a considerable amount of fresh soil could not easily be removed from root samples of Leiophyllum & Decodon. The Decodon roots, which initially weighed 10.25 pounds, dried out to 5.0 pounds. In shaking the dried roots, 2.0 pounds of soil fell on the plastic. Other factors concerning the percent dry weight can be found in a memorandum "Bouvardia fernifolia Procurement in Mexico" dated August 29, 1974 (to Dr. Perdue).

FRESH AND DRY WEIGHT DATA FOR SAMPLES COLLECTED IN
MARYLAND, VIRGINIA, NORTH CAROLINA, AND TENNESSEE

Coll. No.	Plant Name	Weight		
		Fresh	Dry	Percent (Dry)
5070	<u>Potentilla</u> sp.	9.0	4.5	50%
5075	<u>Alnus serrulata</u> sb	8.0	6.0	75%
5076	<u>Magnolia tripetala</u> fr	7.0	3.0	43%
5077	<u>Xanthorhiza simplicissima</u> st-lf-fr	14.5	5.50	38%
5078	<u>Tiarella cordifolia</u> rt-st-lf	--	.5	
5079	<u>Chenopodium album</u> st-lf-fl-fr	10.5	4.5	43%
5080	<u>Aster puniceus</u> st-lf-fl-fr	--	3.25	
5081	<u>Leiophyllum buxifolium</u> rt-rh-st-lf-fl-fr (some soil attached)	17.5	5.25	30%
5082	<u>Menziesia pilosa</u> st-lf	7.0	4.0	57%
5083	<u>Clethra acuminata</u> st-lf-fr	10.25	4.75	46%
5084	<u>Kalmia latifolia</u> tw-lf	6.0	2.75	46%
5085	<u>Viburnum alnifolium</u> st-lf-fr	17.25	10.0	58%
5086	<u>Vaccinium erythrocarpum</u> st-lf-fr	8.75	4.25	49%
5087	<u>Prenanthes altissima</u> rt-st-lf-fl-fr	6.0	2.25	38%
5088	<u>Heuchera villosa</u> rt-rh-st-lf-fl-fr	--	3.50	
5089	<u>Polygonum cuspidatum</u> rt-rh	--	13.0	
	" " st-lf	--	5.00	
5090	<u>Polygonatum biflorum</u> rt-rh	--	3.00	
	" " st-lf-fr	--	2.25	
5091	<u>Laportea canadensis</u> rt-rh	17.25	4.75	28%
	" " st-lf-fr	16.00	2.50	16%
5092	<u>Epifagus virginiana</u> rt-st-lf-fl-fr	6.50	2.00	31%
5093	<u>Aesculus octandra</u> sd	--	5.75	
5094	<u>Polygonatum pubescens</u> rt-rh-st-lf	8.0	1.75 + .5	28%
			2.25	
5095	<u>Gentiana quinquefolia</u> rt-st-lf-fl	10.75	3.00	28%
5096	<u>Tiarella cordifolia</u> rt-rh-st-lf (see also 5078)	10.75	2.25	21%
5097	<u>Caulophyllum thalictroides</u> rt-rh	14.75	3.75	25%
*5098	<u>Lobelia siphilitica</u> rt-rh-st-lf-fl-fr	4.50	--	
5099	<u>Cornus amomum</u> ws-sb	6.00	4.00	67%
	" " tw-lf	6.75	3.50	52%
	" " fr	10.75	2.75	26%
5100	<u>Cimicifuga</u> rt-rh	--	--	
	" st-lf-fr	--	--	
5101	<u>Hydrangea arborescens</u> rt	7.25	3.0	41%
5102	<u>Mitchella repens</u> rt-st-lf-fr	2.75	.75	27%
5103	<u>Diervilla sessilifolia</u> st-lf-fr	13.25	13.00 13.50	49%
5104	<u>Angelica triquinata</u> rt	9.0	2.0	22%
*	" " fr	4.0		
5105	<u>Viburnum cassinoides</u> tw-lf-fr	12.50	7.5	60%
5106	<u>Pieris floribunda</u> st-lf-fr	8.0	4.0	50%
5107	<u>Philadelphus hirsutus</u> tw-lf	10.25	5.75	57%
5108	No Sample			
5109	No Sample			

FRESH AND DRY WEIGHT DATA FOR SAMPLES COLLECTED IN
MARYLAND, VIRGINIA, NORTH CAROLINA, AND TENNESSEE

2

<u>Coll. No.</u>	<u>Plant Name</u>	<u>Weight</u>		
		<u>Fresh</u>	<u>Dry</u>	<u>Percent (Dry)</u>
5110	<u>Leucothoe recurva</u> tw-lf	8.50	4.25	50%
5111	<u>Chimaphila</u> rt-st-lf	---	.75	
5112	<u>Calycanthus</u> No Sample			
5113	<u>Crataegus flabellata</u> tw-lf-fr	6.0	4.25	71%
5114	<u>Ceanothus americanus</u> rt	7.25	4.5	62%
	" " tw-lf-fr	8.0	4.75	59%
5115	<u>Halesia carolina</u> ws-sb	11.0	8.0	73%
	" " tw-lf	8.0	4.75	59%
5116	<u>Juncus repens</u> rt-rh-st-lf	21.0	3.25	15%
5117	<u>Lyonia lucida</u> tw-lf	8.25	4.75	58%
5118	<u>Decodon verticillatus</u> rt	10.25	5.0	49%
		(w/soil)	(w/dirt)	
			3.00	30%
	" " st-lf-fr		(soil shaken)	
		13.5	4.25	31%
5119	<u>Leucothoe racemosa</u> tw-lf	9.25	5.75	62%
*5120	<u>Ilex glabra</u> tw-lf-fr	6.50		
5121	<u>Persea palustris</u> sb	4.50	3.5	78%
	" " tw-lf-fr	11.0	6.25	57%
*5122	<u>Rhexia</u> st-lf-fl	1.0		
5123	<u>Lyonia ligustrina</u> tw-lf	7.0	4.0	57%
5124	<u>Myrica cerifera</u> rt	5.5	3.5	64%
5125	<u>Saurus</u> rt-st-lf	13.25	3.25	25%
5126	<u>Berchemia scandens</u> ws-sb	7.0	4.75	68%
5127	<u>Itea virginiana</u> tw-lf=fr	4.25	2.50	59%
*5128	<u>Chelone</u> st-lf-fl	2.0		
5129	<u>Lycopus rubellus</u> rt-st-lf-fl-fr	12.25	4.0	33%

Richard Spjut

Richard Spjut, Botanist
Medicinal Plant Resources Laboratory
Building 265, BARC-East

cc: Gudrum Christenson
Sandra Saufferer
Pete Koch